UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,974	10/29/2003	Robert O'Kane	001-205	1973
29569 7590 06/22/2010 EXAMINER				
2622 DEBOLT	<del>-</del>	NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A		
UTICA, OH 43080			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2421	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/604,974	O'KANE, ROBERT				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2421				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 M</u>	larch 2010					
′=	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<u>ا</u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	<u> </u>						
4)[	Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5\ <b></b>	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected.						
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r cleation requirement					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	• •	A) Intondon Comme	(DTO 412)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/604,974 Page 2

Art Unit: 2421

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to amendment filed March 15, 2010.

2. Claims 11-19 are pending. Claim 11 is an independent claim.

## Response to Amendments

3. Per Applicant's request, Claim 11 has been amended. It should be noted that Applicant's amendment is non-compliant because the claim amendment is not in a proper format, i.e., the period at the end of the previously presented claim should be shown lined through and the added limitation ", where user must watch advertising to see the content where said users can have a preference in the advertising that they watch." should be underlined. For compact prosecution purposes, Applicant's amendments and arguments have been considered in this Office action.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the new ground of rejection necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejection under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for the purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 11-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0229900 A1 by Reisman.

Art Unit: 2421

It should be noted that hereinafter the use of the clause "see at least" should be interpreted that the cited portions that follow the clause are not the only portions that are considered to be relevant. Should Applicant find that the cited portions are not relevant, other portions of the disclosure of the prior art reference will be provided as additional evidence and/or context to the relevancy of the previously cited portions. Since the evidence is from the same reference, the introduction of the additional evidence in response to Applicant's arguments should not therefore be considered to be that of new grounds of rejection.

## Claim 11

Reisman discloses at least a process comprising a user system (see at least FIG. 1) that includes a client platform (see at least FIGs. 1-2, platform 128) connected to a two way digital tuner equipped device (see at least [0112]; [0176]; [0199]; [0235], e.g., PC-DTV), said client platform regulating processes that authenticate users, content, advertisement (see at least [0292]; [0295-0296]), and royalty distribution (see at least [0529]; [0616]), and said client platform recognizing and reporting to a server platform (see at least FIG., platform 110) the following information: data about the user (see at least [0302]; [0369-0370]; [0512]; [0537]), the times of user activity (see at least [0189]), the content type ([0026]), the name of said content (see at least [0062]), the time that said content was made available to users by the owner of the content, the number of times said content has been used by or transferred to or among the users (see at least FIG. 6; [0029-0030]), e.g., tracking activity; profiling in [0290], [0370]), the advertisement consumption options (see at least [0526]; [0616]), which advertisement the user has or has not selected in the past (see at least [0526]), the amount of royalties paid, and to whom said royalties were paid (see at least [0525-0529]), where user must watch adverting to see the content (see at least [0526]; e.g., ad viewings, ad impressions) where said users can have a preference in the advertising that they watch (see at least [0370-0374]; [0550-0554]).

## Claim 12

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. Reisman further discloses wherein said client platform further controls one or more of the following processes: a selection/or non-selection of content (see at least [0030]; [0115]; [0121-0122]; [0125]), a selection or non-selection of ads (see at least [0115]), playing content, or storing content (see at least [0030]; [0073]; [0118]; [0197]; [0306]).

Art Unit: 2421

#### Claim 13

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. Reisman further discloses *generating said* client platform for each unique user (see at least [0002]; [0023]).

#### Claim 14

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. Reisman further discloses *having said* information being stored in one or more databases (see at least FIG. 1, device 160 or on the iTV/PC), apis (see at least [0137]) or storage units (see at least FIG. 1, device 160 or storage components in devices 130, 140, 150), and for which said information is controlled by the actions of said user's input into said user system (see at least FIG. 1, user's input via device 130, 140 or 150).

#### Claim 15

The rejections of the base claim 11 and intervening claim 14 are incorporated. Reisman further discloses wherein said database is contained within said user system (see at least FIG. 1, database stored on iTV's memory to PC; [0118]; [0610]).

## Claim 16

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. Reisman further discloses *wherein said* user system is a television receiver (see at least FIG. 1, device 130).

#### Claim 17

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. Reisman further discloses *wherein said* user system is a computer (see at least FIG. 1, 140).

#### Claim 18

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. Reisman does not specifically disclose assigning a unique code for each respective advertisement uploaded by a participating advertiser.

Art Unit: 2421

However, Reisman discloses participation of advertisement authors in [0071]. Thus, the feature of assigning a unique code for each respective advertisement uploaded by a participating advertiser is deemed inherent to Reisman because without such an identification code, how could content providers keep track of advertisements targeted to customers for customization and billing purposes.

### Claim 19

The rejection of base claim 11 is incorporated. Reisman further discloses *wherein said* user system comprises a set top box connected to a display device (see at least FIG. 2b, STB 260 connected to TV 262).

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang-Vu "Antony" Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-3701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/604,974 Page 6

Art Unit: 2421

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC 2400 Group receptionist (571) 272-2400.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hoang-Vu Antony Nguyen-Ba/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2421 June 18, 2010